



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/690,211	10/21/2003	James Spittler	03-001-US1 (IST 3591000)	2328
57004	7590	04/14/2008	EXAMINER	
CARR LLP (IST) 670 FOUNDERS SQUARE 900 JACKSON STREET DALLAS, TX 75202			HOFFMAN, MARY C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3733	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/14/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/690,211

Applicant(s)

SPITLER ET AL.

Examiner

MARY HOFFMAN

Art Unit

3733

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) MARY HOFFMAN, EDUARDO ROBERT.

(3) JOHN LIPCHITZ.

(2) SCOTT SCHORER.

(4) MALCOM MCGOWAN.

Date of Interview: 09 April 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: Models of the invention were shown.

Claim(s) discussed: 25 and 26.

Identification of prior art discussed: BOEHM Jr. et al. 2004/0039384.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The claims were discussed and clarified, in particular, the "means for facilitating pivoting the brace" limitation was discussed. The Applicant indicated that he would submit faxed proposed amendments prior to submitting the next response. The examiner agreed to call Applicant to discuss whether the proposed amendments overcome the prior art.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

EDUARDO C. ROBERT
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

/Mary C. Hoffman/
Examiner, Art Unit 3733

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an
Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required